



# Classification of non-gonococcal urethritis: a review

Mehmet Sarier<sup>1,2</sup> · Erdal Kukul<sup>2</sup>

Received: 1 March 2019 / Accepted: 1 April 2019  
© Springer Nature B.V. 2019

## Abstract

Non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) is the most common disease of the genital tract in men. Recent studies have recommended avoiding the empiric antibiotic administrations that constitute the classical treatment approach in NGU and to aim toward treatment of causative pathogens. However, the classification of NGU agents remains controversial. In addition, the relevance of the commensalism of *Mycoplasma hominis*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, *Ureaplasma parvum*, and *Gardnerella vaginalis*, which are among the opportunistic pathogens found in the urethral flora, has yet to be determined. Furthermore, there are certain pathogens on which sufficient studies have not been conducted, although they are known to be NGU pathogens, and their statuses should be updated. In this review, the classification of NGU pathogens is summarized in the light of the current literature.

**Keywords** Non-gonococcal urethritis · Classification · Urethritis · PCR

## Abbreviations

|      |  |
|------|--|
| CDC  | Centers for disease control and prevention |
| CT   | <i>Chlamydia trachomatis</i>               |
| EBV  | Epstein–Barr virus                         |
| EAU  | European Association of Urology            |
| GSS  | Gram-stained urethral smear                |
| GU   | Gonococcal urethritis                      |
| GV   | <i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i>               |
| HIV  | Human immunodeficiency virus               |
| HSV  | Herpes simplex virus                       |
| MC   | <i>Moraxella catarrhalis</i>               |
| MG   | <i>Mycoplasma genitalium</i>               |
| MH   | <i>Mycoplasma hominis</i>                  |
| MSM  | Men sex with men                           |
| NAAT | Nucleic acid amplification test            |
| NGU  | Non-gonococcal urethritis                  |
| NM   | <i>Neisseria meningitidis</i>              |
| PCR  | Polymerase chain reaction                  |
| PID  | Pelvic inflammatory disease                |

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| PMNL/HPF | Polymorphonuclear leucocytes/high-power fields |
| STD      | Sexually transmitted disease                   |
| TV       | <i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i>                   |
| UP       | <i>Ureaplasma parvum</i>                       |
| UU       | <i>Ureaplasma urealyticum</i>                  |

## Introduction

Urethritis is the inflammation of urethra. While it often develops due to infectious pathogens, urethritis may rarely develop due to local chemical irritations. Characteristic findings of urethritis include complaints of urethral discharge occurring following sexual intercourse, itching and burning in the anterior urethra. It is classically defined as gonococcal urethritis (GU) if Gram-negative diplococci are seen in the microscopy of a urethral Gram stain smear (GSS) in the presence of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. In the absence of Gram-negative diplococci, it is defined as non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU). Non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) is the most common genital tract syndrome in men [1].

Today, acute urethritis is a serious socio-economic burden worldwide. The number of new diagnoses of urethritis is increasing worldwide every year, and its incidence is reported to be approximately 150 million cases [2]. Especially, the incidence of NGU is increasing at a higher rate. One of the reasons for increased incidence is the fact that

✉ Mehmet Sarier  
drsarier@gmail.com

Erdal Kukul  
erdal.kukul@medicalpark.com.tr

<sup>1</sup> Department of Urology, Medical Faculty, Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Urology, Medical Park Hospital, Muratpaşa, Antalya, Turkey

more NGU pathogens are being identified as the nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) has become more common. This advancement in diagnostic methods has also enabled researchers to conduct more studies on NGU pathogens. However, in the literature, there are controversies in the classification of NGU pathogens. The controversy is centered on whether certain NGU pathogens that are present commensally in the urethra flora can be considered as real pathogens. Since the “classical” urethritis pathogens are absolute sexually transmitted pathogens and the urethritis symptoms start after sexual intercourse, urethritis and sexually transmitted disease terms have become intertwined, and this makes the evaluation of opportunistic pathogens difficult.

As it is known, most NGU pathogens are difficult to identify with conventional culture methods. Empirical treatments are frequently used in NGU due to the long time required to obtain culture results. NGU diagnosis is established with the absence of gr(−) diplococci in the presence of polymorphonuclear leukocyte in GSS due to its easy application. However, recent publications showed that urethritis in men can manifest itself without conventional urethral discharge, only with symptoms such as itchiness, tingling or dysuria and even can be asymptomatic [3, 4].

The role of GSS has particularly become disputable in cases with low inflammation. Taking the cut-off value for positivity as  $\geq 5$  PMNL/HPF (polymorphonuclear leukocytes per high-power field) in a GSS will yield false-negative outcomes in the diagnosis of NGU. Therefore, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) dropped the cut-off value to  $\geq 2$  PMNL/HPF in its *2015 Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Treatment Guidelines* [5]. A recent study reported 55.6% sensitivity in NGU diagnosis when the threshold was  $\geq 5$  PMNL/HPF in GSS, whereas sensitivity increased to 92.6% when the threshold was lowered to  $\geq 2$  PMNL/HPF [6]. In 2017 European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines,  $\geq 5$  PMNL/HPF threshold in GSS is only recommended for the diagnosis of pyogenic urethritis related to *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [7]. However, there is no recommendation in EAU guidelines about the value of GSSs in NGU cases.

Another disadvantage of the GSS is the presence of polymicrobial urethritis. Two or even three pathogens may be associated in a case of acute urethritis. Establishment of a diagnosis of GU and initiation of the treatment based only on GSS findings may fail to take into account NGU pathogens in the presence of an existing co-infection. Therefore, the possibility of polymicrobial infection should also be taken into consideration in urethritis. This condition, defined as the simultaneous detection of multiple urethritis pathogens, can manifest itself in different ways. And in the literature, it is also termed as concomitant infection, dual infection or multi-infection. In particular, higher incidence of urethritis cases associated with polymicrobial infection can

be considered as related to the increasing use of Multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test. The prevalence of polymicrobial infection among acute urethritis cases reaches up to 16.7% [8]. Therefore, NAAT tests, as with multiplex PCR, are also recommended in the guidelines for the diagnosis of acute urethritis for its ability to detect multiple pathogens in a single sample with high sensitivity [9, 10]. Another reason that NGU is becoming a global burden is the presence of NGU-related inflammation, which may increase the risk of acquisition and transmission of HIV [11]. Therefore, prevention and appropriate management of NGU is also crucial for HIV prevention and protection.

Today, empirical treatment approach for NGU has also become controversial. In a recent study, 20% failure rate was found in empirical treatments administered for NGU diagnosis based on GSS evaluation [12]. And the latest review study stated that empirical treatments should be avoided as the use of PCR has become more common, and that cause-specific treatments are important for preventing both the unnecessary antibiotic use and the development of resistant strains [3]. The classification of NGU pathogens should be renewed with the recognition of cause-specific treatment approaches. Literature review revealed that certain pathogens are widely discussed in recent studies on acute urethritis, while certain pathogens that used to be recognized as NGU causes in the past were not included in the evaluation. The objective of this review is to evaluate and reclassify the pathogens that are accepted as NGU agents, in the light of the literature.

## Bacteria

### *Chlamydia trachomatis*

*Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) are Gram-negative bacteria growing as intracellular parasites. They are the predominant and most known cause of NGU [13]. CT is the most common acute urethritis cause in sexually active young population. Although its prevalence varies geographically, it accounts for 20–50% of NGU cases [14]. CT is an absolute sexually transmitted pathogen, and it is isolated more in developed countries. It must be noted that CT may be asymptomatic in men and women. CT can also cause cervicitis in women, and epididymitis and male infertility in men. NAATs are the most sensitive tests for detecting CT infection [15]. Azithromycin should be considered as the first option in the treatment.

### *Mycoplasma genitalium*

*Mycoplasma genitalium* (MG) is an intracellular parasite. In contrast with *Mycoplasma hominis*, MG is included in the

guidelines as an NGU cause, for which the sexual transmission characteristic is recognized. It has 6–16.7% prevalence in acute urethritis [16, 17] and it can cause PID, cervicitis and infertility in women. However, its association with infertility in men is controversial [18]. NAAT is the only clinically useful method to detect MG [16]. Azithromycin and/or moxifloxacin therapy should be considered.

### ***Ureaplasma urealyticum***

*Ureaplasma urealyticum* (UU) is also formerly known as *Ureaplasma biovar 2*. It is an opportunistic pathogen that can commensally exist in the urethra. In a meta-analysis consisting 1507 NGU patients and 1223 control subjects, it was shown that UU should be assessed as an NGU cause [19]. The latest EAU guideline also indicates UU as an acute urethritis cause [7]. UU prevalence in acute urethritis is 5–26% [9]. As UU growth in culture medium is difficult and UU and *Ureaplasma parvum* cannot be differentiated in culture, NAATs are gold standard as with PCR. UU can also exist commensally; therefore, it can be detected in asymptomatic individuals. In studies conducted with volunteers, UU was detected in 26% of male participants [20]. In two studies by Sarier et al., where acute urethritis cases were evaluated, UU prevalence was found to be 27.1% with a non-quantitative PCR [21], and only 9.5% UU prevalence was found in the study conducted with quantitative PCR [6]. Therefore, quantitative PCR is a valuable test for demonstrating microbial load and avoiding false-positive results. UU also accounts for infertility in men. In a recent meta-analysis, a significant relation was found between male infertility and UU, whereas no relation was detected with *U. parvum* [19]. Doxycycline should be the priority in treatment.

### **Haemophilus species**

Among *Haemophilus* species, *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* strains are held responsible for acute urethritis. Although it is not a common cause of acute urethritis, its prevalence reaches up to 12.6%, and *Haemophilus influenzae* accounts for 87%, and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* for 13% of the strains responsible for acute urethritis [22]. The oro-genital transmission is particularly relevant. It is more common in men who have sex with men (MSM) [23]. As in GU, clinical findings are accompanied by pyogenic urethral discharge. Antibiotic resistance is an important problem in *Haemophilus* species. In a study consisting 38 *Haemophilus* urethritis series, azithromycin resistance was found in 34.2% of the cases and both azithromycin and tetracycline resistance in 26.3% of the cases [24]. Therefore, antibiotics that are effective against beta-lactamase activity of *Haemophilus* species should be considered for the treatment [25].

### ***Neisseria meningitidis***

*Neisseria meningitidis* (NM) is another pathogen in etiology of acute urethritis. However, as it is a gr(–) diplococci like *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG), misdiagnosis can occur in acute urethritis cases diagnosed with GSS. Therefore, maybe, its incidence is demonstrated only in case presentation, unlike NG. It is more common in heterosexual men and its prevalence in acute urethritis is 0.3–0.7% [26]. As NM can exist commensally in the oropharyngeal flora, oro-genital contact is considered to be the most important form of transmission in acute urethritis cases [27]. More studies with large series are required for NM to be considered as part of the routine evaluation of acute urethritis.

### ***Mycoplasma hominis***

The status of *Mycoplasma hominis* (MH) as a cause of acute urethritis is controversial. On the contrary to *M. genitalium* in the same genus, it can exist commensally in urethral flora of 9% of healthy men [20]. As its sexual transmission is still being discussed like other opportunistic pathogens, its role in acute urethritis also raises questions. Although there are studies evaluating MH as an NGU cause [28], there are also other publications suggesting that it is not an NGU pathogen [29]. It has 3% prevalence in men with acute urethritis confirmed with GSS assessed by quantitative PCR [30]. It may be often seen as a cause of co-infection. This suggests that it may be a secondary cause of infection due to disrupted flora. In a study about NGU pathogens, all MH cases were found as co-infection form, and interestingly even an individual case was not found [28]. It can be a serious pathogen in immunosuppressed patients. Most of the patients are asymptomatic due to its low inflammatory characteristics. Therefore, it can be considered as a urethritis cause under high microbial load. Thus, quantitative PCR analysis plays an important role in the diagnosis since it also can show microbial load. And there is also evidence suggesting that MH can cause male infertility. In a recent meta-analysis, MH was shown to be associated with male infertility [18]. Although doxycycline can be an effective treatment, eradication of MH through antibiotic therapy may be difficult due to the insufficient cidal activity of antibiotics on MH [25]. Today, it is early to rule out MH as a cause of acute urethritis. Case-controlled and particularly quantitative PCR-supported studies will be instructive.

### ***Gardnerella vaginalis***

*Gardnerella vaginalis* (GV) is the most known bacteria causing bacterial vaginosis. Although it is an important factor of acute vaginitis in women, its role as a cause of urethritis in men is questionable [31]. In a case-controlled

study, GV was found to be, statistically significantly, a cause of acute urethritis [32]. However, GV is a pathogen that is also detected in the male urethritis developing in men after sexual intercourse with women who have GV-related vaginitis [33]. In a study with a large series of patients with acute urethritis, its prevalence was found as 14% [34]. It can be present in women and men commensally. In a study, GV was found in 37% of asymptomatic men [35], and it becomes symptomatic under high microbial load. GV is particularly common in the urethral flora of homosexual men [36]. It is still not included as a cause of acute urethritis in the latest EAU guideline [7]. Metronidazole or tinidazole should be considered for the treatment. In line with the information above, it should be highlighted that GV can be considered as a cause of acute urethritis in high microbial load.

### ***Ureaplasma parvum***

On the contrary to UU, there is very few evidence for *U. parvum* (UP) to be considered as an acute urethritis cause. It is also recognized as *Ureaplasma biovar 1*. It is a pathogen than can commensally exist in the urethra like UU. Despite the publications considering it as a cause of acute urethritis under high microbial load, today it is not recognized as an acute urethritis pathogen due to low level of evidence. In a case-controlled study, bacterial load of UP was found to be similar both in the NGU group and the control group [37]. In a recent meta-analysis evaluating the case-controlled studies, UP was shown to be not associated with NGU [19]. Similarly in another meta-analysis, in contrast to UU and MH, no relation was found between male fertility and UP [18].

### **Streptococcus species**

The role of *Streptococcus* species in acute urethritis is also questionable. In three different acute urethritis prevalence study, prevalence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was found to be 0.48% [37], *Streptococcus agalactiae* was 1.5% [38] and *Streptococcus pyogenes* was 0.16% [39]. In general, its prevalence in acute urethritis patients is less than 1%. The insufficient number of case-controlled studies is making it difficult to establish a clear understanding on the subject. In a case-controlled study, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was found to be more common in control subjects than the acute NGU patients [37]. Today, there is no sufficient evidence to consider them as acute urethritis causes. Case-controlled studies with large series will provide guidance.

### ***Moraxella catarrhalis***

*Moraxella catarrhalis* (MC) is a gr(−) diplococci that exist commensally in 1–5% of healthy individuals and can frequently cause respiratory tract infection [40]. Oro-genital

transmission-related acute urethritis cases are published as case presentation in the literature [41]. Its symptoms are typically similar to GU. There is no sufficient evidence to label MC as an absolute NGU cause due to the lack of case-controlled studies with large series.

## **Virus**

### **Adenovirus**

There are limited number of studies on the prevalence and role of adenovirus as a cause of urethritis. The literature review revealed mostly case reports on the subject. Oro-genital transmission is typical and its prevalence within acute urethritis can reach up to 4% [42]. However, the most characteristic symptom of infection is the frequently accompanying conjunctivitis. Therefore, the presence of meatitis and/or conjunctivitis draws attention as a typical finding along with urethritis symptoms. In an adenovirus urethritis series consisting 102 cases, meatitis or conjunctivitis was found to be accompanying in 89% of the cases [43]. Another important aspect of this study was the fact that  $5 \geq$  PMNL/HPF in GSS was present in only 37% of the patients. Most of the adenovirus infections are spontaneously limited in immune-competent individuals and recovery is achieved without requiring treatment. It should be noted that this pathogen can be isolated more in acute urethritis cases with the increasing use of rapid tests like NAAT.

### **Herpes simplex virus**

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) Type I and Type II is another virus responsible for acute urethritis. Although its prevalence varies, a recent study found total of 3.8% prevalence within acute urethritis (2.9% for HSV Type I and 0.9% for HSV Type II) [44]. Unprotected oral sex history is common in patients. Clinically, it is accompanied by meatitis as with adenovirus. In male patients with HSV-positive acute urethritis, unlike genital HSV infections, herpetic lesions were found only in 26.3% of the patients [14]. Therefore, the absence of classical vesicular herpetic lesions cannot eliminate HSV urethritis. HSV urethritis should be taken into consideration due to the fact that mononuclear leukocytes are more common in GSS instead of polymorphonuclear leukocytes [14]. Valacyclovir or famciclovir should be considered for the treatment.

### **Epstein–Barr virus**

Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) is the third virus in the etiology of acute urethritis, after adenovirus and HSV. However, there are a limited number of studies in the literature investigating

EBV as a cause of acute urethritis. In a case-controlled acute urethritis series confirmed by GSS containing 103 patients, EBV was found to be 21% in the study group and 6% in the control group; in conclusion, researchers reported an independent relation between male urethritis and EBV [45]. However, the 21% prevalence can be considered to be an ambitious figure and should be challenged. The lack of different publications supporting these data is another handicap. Therefore, it is early to consider EBV as a cause of acute urethritis. Epidemiological studies with large series including EBV will be instructive.

## Protozoan

### *Trichomonas vaginalis*

*Trichomonas vaginalis* is a sexually transmitted protozoan NGU cause. It is more common in developing countries; however, it has 2–13% prevalence in developed countries [46]. The NAATs developed in recent years have great diagnostic value, with sensitivity and specificity of 95–100% [47]. Metronidazole should be the first option in treatment.

## Fungi

### *Candida* species

*Candida* species is one of the earliest known pathogens in acute urethritis causes and exists opportunistically in the flora [48]. However, literature review showed that there are very few publications on the association of *Candida* species with urethritis since the day it was recognized as a cause of acute urethritis. In a study where 1248 acute urethritis patients were evaluated, prevalence of *Candida* species was found to be 0.48% [14]. Sexual transmission of genitourinary *Candida* infection is controversial. A study using genotypes showed that vulvovaginal candidiasis can cause balanoposthitis in men after heterosexual intercourse [49]. However, there is no sufficient evidence suggesting that *Candida* species can cause acute urethritis as a result of sexual transmission. It may be considered as a cause of urethritis with its opportunistic characteristics in relation to the disruption of urethral flora. In conclusion, more studies are required to recognize *Candida* species as a cause of acute urethritis.

As mentioned above, UU, GV and MH, which can commensally exist in the urethral flora, can cause acute urethritis under high microbial load. Two hypotheses can be considered at this point. Although they are sexually transmitted, they can cause urethritis due to their opportunistic characteristics related to the disruption of urethral flora as a result of sexual intercourse or they can be urethritis causes by way

of co-infection due to the changes in the flora invoked by the sexually transmitted urethritis pathogen. Another discussion is the definition of high microbial load for these pathogens. In publications, although high microbial load is defined as > 1000 copy/ml of first-voiding urine [9, 50], there is no consensus on a standard value. Therefore, it is still unclear what amount of microbial load should necessitate treatment for opportunistic pathogens. In conclusion, these pathogens should be treated in “real” high microbial loads regardless of being primary pathogen or concomitant infection.

There is another group, classified as idiopathic or non-specific urethritis, within acute urethritis. This is the clinical condition, where no known pathogens were detected, found to be 20–30% in epidemiological studies [51]. Urethritis developing due to chemical factors and allergic urethritis can also be evaluated within this group. Chemical urethritis can occur as a result of chemical reaction in the urethra caused by the hygiene substances used after sexual intercourse; and allergic urethritis can occur in men after sexual intercourse with female partners using vaginal contraceptive methods and Lubrica used during the intercourse can cause infection by contacting the urethra. Although uncommon, it must be noted that urethritis can occur due to mechanical manipulation.

## Conclusion

There is still debate in the literature about the classification of non-gonococcal urethritis pathogens. Among the opportunistic pathogens, only *U. urealyticum* is involved in the guidelines as an agent of urethritis. The other pathogens that may be commensally found in urethral flora including *M. hominis* and *G. vaginalis* can be considered as causes of NGU at a high microbial load. In line with the evidence, there is no need to evaluate *U. parvum* as a causative agent of acute urethritis. Haemophilus species, HSV species, and adenovirus that are accepted as causes of NGU should be pathogens studied in routine evaluation in acute urethritis.

**Funding** No funding received for this work.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** All authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Moi H, Blee K, Horner PJ (2015) Management of non-gonococcal urethritis. BMC Infect Dis 15:294. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1043-4>

2. Mckechnie ML, Hillman R, Couldwell D et al (2009) Simultaneous identification of 14 genital microorganisms in urine by use of a multiplex PCR-based reverse line blot assay. *J Clin Microbiol* 47(6):1871–1877. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00120-09>
3. Bartoletti R, Wagenlehner FME, Johansen TE et al (2018) Management of urethritis: is it still the time for empirical antibiotic treatments? *Eur Urol Focus*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2018.10.006>
4. Fall B, Sow Y, Mansouri I et al (2011) Etiology and current clinical characteristics of male urethral stricture disease: experience from a public teaching hospital in Senegal. *Int Urol Nephrol* 43(4):969–974. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-011-9940-y>
5. Workowski KA, Bolan GA (2015) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. *MMWR Recomm reports Morb Mortal Wkly report Recomm reports*. 64(RR-03):1–137
6. Sarier M, Sepin N, Duman I et al (2018) Microscopy of Gram-stained urethral smear in the diagnosis of urethritis: which threshold value should be selected? *Andrologia*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/and.13143>
7. Bonkat G, Pickard R, Bartoletti R, Cai T, Bruyère F (2017) EAU Guidelines on urological infections. *Eur Assoc Urol* 1:26–27. <http://uroweb.org/guideline/urological-infections/>. Accessed 4 Apr 2019
8. Sarier M (2019) Prevalence of polymicrobial infection in urethritis. *J Urol Surg*. <https://doi.org/10.4274/jus.galenos.2019.2405>
9. Horner PJ, Blee K, Falk L, van der Meijden W, Moi H (2016) 2016 European guideline on the management of non-gonococcal urethritis. *Int J STD AIDS* 27(11):928–937. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462416648585>
10. Grabe M, Bartoletti R, Bjerklund-Johansen TE et al (2015) Guidelines on urological infections. *Eur Assoc Urol*. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0702.010240>
11. Fleming DT, Wasserheit JN (1999) From epidemiological synergy to public health policy and practice: the contribution of other sexually transmitted diseases to sexual transmission of HIV infection. *Sex Transm Infect* 75(1):3–17
12. Manhart LE, Gillespie CW, Lowens MS et al (2013) Standard treatment regimens for nongonococcal urethritis have similar but declining cure rates: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 56(7):934–942. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis1022>
13. Kurahashi T, Miyake H, Nakano Y et al (2007) A comparison of clinical features between chlamydial and non-chlamydial urethritis in men negative for gonococcal infection who attended a urological outpatient clinic in Japan. *Int Urol Nephrol* 39(3):809–813. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-006-9149-7>
14. Ito S, Yasuda M, Kondo H et al (2017) Clinical courses of herpes simplex virus-induced urethritis in men. *J Infect Chemother* 23(10):717–719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2017.03.017>
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2014) Recommendations for the laboratory-based detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*—2014. *MMWR Recomm reports Morb Mortal Wkly report Recomm reports*. 63(RR-02):1–19
16. Libois A, Hallin M, Crucitti T, Delforge M, De Wit S (2018) Prevalence of *Mycoplasma genitalium* in men with urethritis in a large public hospital in Brussels, Belgium: an observational, cross-sectional study. *Bruisten S, ed. PLoS One* 13(4):e0196217. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196217>
17. Erturhan SM, Bayrak O, Pehlivan S et al (2013) Can mycoplasma contribute to formation of prostate cancer? *Int Urol Nephrol* 45(1):33–38. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11255-012-0299-5>
18. Huang C, Zhu HL, Xu KR, Wang SY, Fan LQ, Zhu WB (2015) Mycoplasma and ureaplasma infection and male infertility: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Andrology* 3(5):809–816. <https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.12078>
19. Zhang N, Wang R, Li X, Liu X, Tang Z, Liu Y (2014) Are *Ureaplasma* spp. a cause of nongonococcal urethritis? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 9(12):e113771. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0113771>
20. Kim S-J, Lee DS, Lee S-J (2011) The prevalence and clinical significance of urethritis and cervicitis in asymptomatic people by use of multiplex polymerase chain reaction. *Korean J Urol* 52(10):703–708. <https://doi.org/10.4111/kju.2011.52.10.703>
21. Sarier M, Duman İ, Göktaş Ş, Demir M, Kukul E (2017) Results of multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay to identify urethritis pathogens. *J Urol Surg*. 4(1):18–22. <https://doi.org/10.4274/jus.1328>
22. Deza G, Martin-Ezquerria G, Gómez J, Villar-García J, Supervia A, Pujol RM (2016) Isolation of *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* in urethral exudates from men with acute urethritis: a descriptive study of 52 cases. *Sex Transm Infect* 92(1):29–31. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2015-052135>
23. Ito S, Hatazaki K, Shimuta K et al (2017) *Haemophilus influenzae* isolated from men with acute urethritis. *Sex Transm Dis* 44(4):205–210. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000573>
24. Magdaleno-Tapiál J, Valenzuela-Oñate C, der Giacaman-von Weth MM et al (2018) Aislamiento de *Haemophilus* spp. en exudados uretrales como posible agente etiológico de uretritis aguda: estudio de 38 casos. *Actas Dermosifiliogr*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ad.2018.09.003>
25. Mihai M, Valentin N, Bogdan D, Carmen CM, Coralia B, Demetra S (2011) Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of *Mycoplasma hominis* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* isolated during a population-based study concerning women infertility in northeast romania. *Braz J Microbiol* 42(1):256–260. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-8382011000100032>
26. Bazan JA, Turner AN, Kirkcaldy RD et al (2017) Large cluster of *Neisseria meningitidis* urethritis in Columbus, Ohio, 2015. *Clin Infect Dis* 65(1):92–99. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix215>
27. Dubois C, Liegeon A-L, Fabbro C, Truchetet F (2017) Urétrite à *Neisseria meningitidis*: deux cas. *Ann Dermatol Veneréol* 144(10):621–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annder.2017.05.007>
28. Kilic D, Basar MM, Kaygusuz S, Yilmaz E, Basar H, Batislam E (2004) Prevalence and treatment of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Ureaplasma urealyticum*, and *Mycoplasma hominis* in patients with non-gonococcal urethritis. *Jpn J Infect Dis*. 57(1):17–20
29. Horner P, Donders G, Cusini M, Gomberg M, Jensen JS, Unemo M (2018) Should we be testing for urogenital *Mycoplasma hominis*, *Ureaplasma parvum* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in men and women?—a position statement from the European STI Guidelines Editorial Board. *J Eur Acad Dermatology Venereol*. 32(11):1845–1851. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.15146>
30. Cox C, McKenna JP, Watt AP, Coyle PV (2016) *Ureaplasma parvum* and *Mycoplasma genitalium* are found to be significantly associated with microscopy-confirmed urethritis in a routine genitourinary medicine setting. *Int J STD AIDS* 27(10):861–867. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462415597620>
31. Schwartz MA, Hooton TM (1998) Etiology of nongonococcal nonchlamydial urethritis. *Dermatol Clin*. 16(4):727–733 (xi)
32. Iser P, Read TH, Tabrizi S et al (2005) Symptoms of non-gonococcal urethritis in heterosexual men: a case control study. *Sex Transm Infect*. 81(2):163–165. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2004.010751>
33. Keane FE, Thomas BJ, Whitaker L, Renton A, Taylor-Robinson D (1997) An association between non-gonococcal urethritis and bacterial vaginosis and the implications for patients and their sexual partners. *Genitourin Med* 73(5):373–377
34. Shigehara K, Kawaguchi S, Maeda Y et al (2011) Prevalence of genital *Mycoplasma*, *Ureaplasma*, *Gardnerella*, and human papillomavirus in Japanese men with urethritis, and risk factors for detection of urethral human papillomavirus infection. *J*

- Infect Chemother. 17(4):487–492. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10156-010-0203-0>
35. Frolund M, Dancu R, Ahrens P, Lidbrink P, Bjornelius E, Jensen JS (2011) P3-S1.28 Is urethritis of unknown aetiology caused by bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis? Sex Transm Infect. 87(Suppl 1):A276–A277. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2011-050108.428>
  36. Hay P (2017) Bacterial vaginosis. F1000Res 6:1761. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11417.1>
  37. Frølund M, Lidbrink P, Wikström A, Cowan S, Ahrens P, Jensen J (2016) Urethritis-associated pathogens in urine from men with non-gonococcal urethritis: a case–control study. Acta Derm Venereol 96(5):689–694. <https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2314>
  38. Todorović J, Randelović G, Kocić B, Todorović-Zivković D (2007) Bacteriological finding in the urethra in men with and without non-gonococcal urethritis. Vojnosanit Pregl. 64(12):833–836
  39. Orellana MA, Gómez ML, Sánchez MT, Fernández-Chacón T (2009) Diagnosis of urethritis in men. A 3-year review. Rev Esp Quimioter 22(2):83–87
  40. Vaneechoutte M, Verschraegen G, Claeys G, Weise B, Van den Abeele AM (1990) Respiratory tract carrier rates of *Moraxella (Branhamella) catarrhalis* in adults and children and interpretation of the isolation of *M. catarrhalis* from sputum. J Clin Microbiol. 28(12):2674–2680
  41. Abdolrasouli A, Amin A, Baharsefat M, Roushan A, Hemmati Y (2007) *Moraxella catarrhalis* associated with acute urethritis imitating gonorrhoea acquired by oral–genital contact. Int J STD AIDS 18(8):579–580. <https://doi.org/10.1258/095646207781439775>
  42. Bradshaw CS, Tabrizi SN, Read TRH et al (2006) Etiologies of nongonococcal urethritis: bacteria, viruses, and the association with orogenital exposure. J Infect Dis 193(3):336–345. <https://doi.org/10.1086/499434>
  43. Samaraweera GR, Garcia K, Druce J et al (2016) Characteristics of adenovirus urethritis among heterosexual men and men who have sex with men: a review of clinical cases. Sex Transm Infect. 92(3):172–174. <https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2015-052243>
  44. Ito S, Hanaoka N, Shimuta K et al (2016) Male non-gonococcal urethritis: from microbiological etiologies to demographic and clinical features. Int J Urol 23(4):325–331. <https://doi.org/10.1111/iju.13044>
  45. Berntsson M, Löwhagen G-B, Bergström T et al (2010) Viral and bacterial aetiologies of male urethritis: findings of a high prevalence of Epstein–Barr virus. Int J STD AIDS 21(3):191–194. <https://doi.org/10.1258/ijisa.2009.009262>
  46. Ng A, Ross JD (2016) *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection: how significant is it in men presenting with recurrent or persistent symptoms of urethritis? Int J STD AIDS 27(1):63–65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462415571372>
  47. Schwebke JR, Hobbs MM, Taylor SN et al (2011) Molecular testing for *Trichomonas vaginalis* in women: results from a prospective US clinical trial. J Clin Microbiol. 49(12):4106–4111. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.01291-11>
  48. Fowler W (1958) *Candida albicans* urethritis; report of a case. Br J Vener Dis 34(3):166–168
  49. Li J, Fan S, Liu X et al (2008) Biased genotype distributions of *Candida albicans* strains associated with vulvovaginal candidiasis and candidal balanoposthitis in China. Clin Infect Dis 47(9):1119–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1086/592249>
  50. Shimada Y, Ito S, Mizutani K et al (2014) Bacterial loads of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* contribute to development of urethritis in men. Int J STD AIDS 25(4):294–298. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956462413504556>
  51. Bowie WR, Alexander ER, Stimson JB, Floyd JF, Holmes KK (1981) Therapy for nongonococcal urethritis: double-blind randomized comparison of two doses and two durations of minocycline. Ann Intern Med. 95(3):306–311

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.